Center for Strategy and Technology at Air University

We make a difference... one idea at a time

Today's Vision – Tomorrow's Capabilities
Mission

- Research the strategic implications of emerging technologies on future warfare
- Educate senior officers on:
  - Impact of emerging technologies on USAF operational concepts and future strategy
  - Importance and structure of national and AF laboratory system
- Publish findings to influence joint thinking, investment

Air, Space and Cyber-minded futures research exploring the feedback loop between technology and strategy...to shape USAF investment
Grew from Air Force 2025 studies in 1996
- DARPA funded
- AFOSR involved in AF Future Council Steering committee...dissolved about 1998

Transitioned to standing technology study in 1998
- Funded through AFRL

Emphasis on strategy and technology shifted ahead of 2006 QDR
- Today, HAF/A8 and AFRL funded

A flexible organization to support the USAF mission
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Research

- Research conducted under “Blue Horizons” rubric
  - Elective course at AWC and ACSC
  - Mentor approx 49 students papers per year
  - Participation is voluntary and open to all but…
    - Invitations are targeted to specific students
    - Class size is limited and selective to ensure diversity
- Center provides funding and research guidance
  - Includes group orientation trips to labs; individual research trips to access experts across the country

Program targets students with promising career potential to match study requirements and maximize its out-year impact
Publications

- Publish annual study results and summary briefing
  - Publications target:
    - JCS
    - Headquarters, USAF
    - Labs/industry
    - Academic/scientific community
  - Briefing targets:
    - CSAF
    - Senior Air Staff Leaders
    - Labs
    - Futures conferences
- Publish 5-8 student occasional papers per year

Expand influence through targeted publication and briefings
Faculty

- Col John Geis, PhD – director (technology)
- Col (ret) Ted Hailes – deputy director (futures)
- Col Chris Kinnan (SAASS, Air Staff, space)
- Col Harry Foster (SAASS, Air Staff, AOC, fighter, bomber)
- Mr Larry Schoof (Sandia National Labs) – Chief Scientist
- Vacant – AFRL representative to Air University
- Leverage adjunct faculty from ACSC and Air War College, when required

Small faculty, mixed expertise

Today’s Vision – Tomorrow’s Capabilities
Blue Horizons (BH) II
2008 Final Report

We make a difference...
one idea at a time
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Overview

- Premise of Study
- A-8 Tasking and Background
- Operational Analysis
- Summary of Underlying Technologies
- 2008 Findings and Conclusions
PROBLEM: Accelerating technological change interacting with a shifting strategic landscape is producing massive, dynamic change

EFFECT: Acts as a catalyst creating a very disturbing disruptive threat to the US and a serious challenge to the USAF’s future dominance

Dynamic change is the coming norm…surprise is inevitable
All this to say future enemies will be *motivated* by resources, fear, and hate; *empowered* through education; and *enabled* through technology and globalization to directly challenge the US.

The *enemy* will be different -- the targets they present will be more *difficult* to find, *harder* to hit, more widely *distributed*, and more *dangerous*.
A-8 Tasking
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Specified Task

“…develop a prioritized list of concepts and their key enabling technologies that the U.S. Air Force will need to maintain the dominant air, space and cyber forces in the future”

**Implied Task:** Determine how we can leverage a targeted investment today to position the USAF to address a broad set of possible challenges in 2030
The Researchers

Student Participants
- Line Officers – top 12% of peer group
- Faculty selected for quality and diversity
- All volunteers – accepting higher workload for year

Student Preparation

Technology
- In-depth reading program
- TDY to AFRL (WPAFB & ABQ)
- 40 hrs class on Technology

Regional Studies
- 45 class hours on ISS
- TDY to CIA, DIA, State
- TDY to region (ex. Russia & China)

Research Methodology
- 4 alternate futures for 2030
  - Jihadist Insurgency
  - Failed State
  - Peer China
  - Resurgent Russia
- 58 AFRL, A8, AU future concepts
  - Quantitative operations analysis of all 58 concepts conducted against all four alternative futures assisted by
PARTICIPANTS

- 49 students & 7 faculty

SERVICE EXPERIENCE

**Air War College**
- Acquisition: 5%
- Rated Ops: 5%
- Intel: 5%
- Engineer: 11%
- Space: 11%
- Other Services: 69%

Tasks:
- Alternate futures
- Operations analysis
- S&T analysis

**Air Command and Staff College**
- Intel: 6%
- Rated: 40%
- Engineer: 18%
- Space: 6%
- Other: 6%

Tasks:
- Futures Technology
- CONOPS
- Forecasts

...a blue-suit, operational view of future technology
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Assumptions

- Exponential S&T growth continues into the future
- Alternate futures provide the best tool to understand future challenges – provides:
  - Context for USAF 2030 – from peace enforcement to insurgency to peer conflict
  - Logical extrapolations based on extensive research but not intended to be predictive
- Air Force core missions remain in the domains of air, space, and cyberspace
Study Limitations

- We do not specifically address new high explosives or nuclear weapons technology.

- Nanotechnology, biotechnology and materials science may be under-represented:
  - These technologies are scored in parts of the study.
  - However, they may enable more concepts than is indicated in the AFRL data set used.

- We will research these further in Blue Horizons III.
2030 Alternate Futures: Rooted in National and AF Guidance

“The United States must: Strengthen alliances to defeat global terrorism and work to prevent attacks against us and our friends…(and)...work with others to diffuse regional conflicts…”

Alternate Futures: Failed State and Jihadist Insurgency

“Our strategy seeks to encourage China to make the right choices for its people, while we hedge against other possibilities.”

Alternate Future: Peer China

“Russia has great influence not only in Europe and its own immediate neighborhood, but also in many other regions of vital interest to us…(but) recent trends point to a diminishing commitment to democratic freedom and institutions.”

Alternate Future: Resurgent Russia
BH 2030 Research Methodology
Quantitative Analysis

- Model equation: \[ V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i v_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \]
- Concepts scored across all four alternate futures using the following value model:

Superiority in Air, Space and Cyber

Global Vigilance
- Detect
  - In Air
  - In Space
  - In Cyber
  - On Surface
  - Subsurface

- Understand
  - Identify
  - integrate

- Command
  - Survive
  - Comm

Global Reach
- Deploy
  - To Air
  - To Space
  - To Cyber
  - To Surface
- Maintain
  - Readiness
  - Sustain
- Replenish
  - In Air
  - In Space
  - In Cyber
  - On Surface
  - Subsurface

Global Power
- Engage
  - In Air
  - In Space
  - In Cyber
- Survive
  - International
  - Reaction
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Blue Horizons
2008 Operational Analysis
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Summary of 58 Concepts

- Notional systems (in most cases) representing specific capabilities
- Some top concepts are worth exploring for production
- Concepts provide a vehicle for evaluating enabling technologies
Rank Order of Concepts
Across All Alternate Futures

Ranking of key concepts is relatively constant across alternate futures
Rank Order of Concepts
China/Russia vs Insurgency/Failed State

Offensive Space Capability Differentiates Futures

Today’s Vision – Tomorrow’s Capabilities
Top 10 Concepts across all alternate futures

Top 10 Concepts encompass 83 of 172 Enabling Technologies
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Top 10 Concepts Across All Futures

Wingman

Cybercraft

Hybrid High Energy Laser System

Space-based Solid State Laser (SBSSL)

Future Airborne Laser (ABL)

Vulture - Uninhabited Combat Air Vehicle

Short-range Near-term Attack Fighter

B-3X Supersonic Strike Platform

Directed Energy Tactical Aircraft

Hypersonic Attack Aircraft

• 41 Enabling Technologies
• Integrated multi-spectral sensors for threat acquisition
• Flight systems incorporate self-diagnosis and repair
• Multiple technique jamming devices and arrays – arrays embedded in aircraft skin
• Able to control jamming swarms and coordinate other engagements through battle management system
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Blue Horizons
Summary of Underlying Technologies
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Evaluating Technology Scores
172 Technologies Across All Futures

While there appears to be a “knee” in the curve…

Today’s Vision – Tomorrow’s Capabilities
Evaluating Technology Scores
Wingman’s 41 Enabling Technologies

Even the top concept requires technologies in the tail of the curve

57 of 58 Concepts Require Technologies in the Tail of the Curve
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Number of Technologies and Concepts Enabled By Rank Order

Implied Task: Determine how we can leverage a targeted investment today to position the USAF to address a broad set of possible challenges in 2030.
### Top Technologies

#### Prioritized Technology Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enabling Technology Category</th>
<th># Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assured Communications</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Track/Sense</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Self Defense</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assured Navigation</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Protect/Attack</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Fusion/Analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Optics/Beam Technologies</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Technologies</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAV C2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures &amp; Materials</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Launch/Ops/Forecast</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Cleanup (supports UAV)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Generation/Storage</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Speed Weapons</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Top Technologies
Enabling Technology by Category

Assured Comm (4)
Auto Track/Sense (9)
Vehicle Self Defense (8)
Assured Navigation (2)
Cyber Protect/Attack (17)
Data Fusion/Analysis (6)
Laser Optics/Beam Tech (8)
Engine Technologies (7)
UAV C2 (4)
Structures & Materials (4)
Space Launch/Ops (6)
Nuclear Cleanup (1)
Power Generation/Storage (3)
High Speed Wpns (3)

Scores Reflect An Operator’s Perspective
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Top Technologies
Enabling Technology by Category

Assured Comm (4)
Auto Track/Sense (9)
Vehicle Self Defense (8)
Assured Navigation (2)
Cyber Protect/Attack (17)
Data Fusion/Analysis (6)
Laser Optics/Beam Tech (8)
Engine Technologies (7)
UAV C2 (4)
Structures & Materials (4)
Space Launch/Ops (6)
Nuclear Cleanup (1)
Power Generation/Storage (3)
High Speed Wpns (3)

Importance of Survivability in Air, Space, Cyber Increasing

Scores Reflect An Operator’s Perspective
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Top Technologies
Enabling Technology by Category

Scores Reflect An Operator’s Perspective
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Top Technologies
Enabling Technology by Category

Assured Comm (4)
Auto Track/Sense (9)
Vehicle Self Defense (8)
Assured Navigation (2)
Cyber Protect/Attack (17)
Data Fusion/Analysis (6)
Laser Optics/Beam Tech (8)
Engine Technologies (7)
UAV C2 (4)
Structures & Materials (4)
Space Launch/Ops (6)
Nuclear Cleanup (1)
Power Generation/Storage (3)
High Speed Wpns (3)

Scores Reflect An Operator’s Perspective
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Additional 2008 Findings and Conclusions
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The Study Reveals

- Impact of exponential change in S&T
  - Individual accrues power of the state

Cost of tech decreases – availability increases

Bottom Line
- Strategic environment for S&T changing rapidly:
  - Globalization levels playing field
  - Reduced cost of access
  - Empowered non-traditional actors
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The Study Reveals

- “OODA-loop” shrinks toward an “OODA-point”
  - 2008 – Human commands throughout
  - 2030 – Machines will execute human intent at machine speeds.
- Human remains in the loop, but in new role
  - Will reigns supreme
  - Programs, builds, integrates, repairs, and analyzes
- Time between observation and action in tactical engagements will be measured in fractions of seconds
- Decisions, based on human intent, will be made by machines at machine speeds

Contest of Human Wills . . . Machine Controls Engagement
The Study Reveals

- Targets more difficult to find:
  - Mobile
  - Distributed/Dispersed
  - Fleeting
  - Buried
  - Nested
  - Urban

- USAF must:
  - Find, attribute and respond
  - Strike quickly and precisely
  - Move beyond explosives
  - Kill what you need to and nothing else

Old Targets

New Targets
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The Study Reveals

- Increased role for unmanned systems
  - Five of top 10 systems are unmanned or CONUS-based
- Increased importance of the cyberspace domain
  - Need to be able to navigate, explore, defend, and attribute attack in this domain (e.g., Cybercraft)
- Increased need for rapid attack capability
  - Only one of top 10 systems attacks at speeds below Mach 1
- Increased need for survivability – threats proliferate
  - Many of top-ranked technologies are related to defense against directed energy (lasers and HPM) or cyberspace attacks
  - Defensive systems are critical to maintain freedom of action
  - Must be able to defend, reconstitute AND operate while degraded
The Study Reveals

- Rank ordering of concepts and technologies does NOT vary significantly between state-on-state and irregular warfare
  - Virtually identical results across all four alternate futures in:
    - Air and surface attack
    - Directed energy
    - Communications, cyberspace, and sensing
    - Attribution
    - Data fusion technologies

- Offensive and defensive space systems and technologies are more crucial in state-on-state warfare than in other types of conflict
### Expected

- A small list of key technologies would enable a large percentage of future concepts/systems
- Preferred systems and underlying technologies would vary with the type of warfare

### Actual result

- Underlying technologies cross concept boundaries - ubiquitous
- Capabilities required for major state-on-state conflict are mostly the same as those required for counter-insurgent and irregular warfare
Harsh Realities

• Bureaucracy and innovation are incompatible
  • Hierarchy needs to become a more net like structure

• “Bubba Einstein” will have disruptive power

• “OODA-Loop” shrinks toward an “OODA-Point”
  • Surprise is the norm
  • Decreases value of leaders gifted in crisis management; increases value of leaders who can anticipate crises and mitigate effect

• Classical military PME curricula does not prepare leaders for the worlds of 2030

• Recapitalization must include capabilities for the worlds of 2030
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Study Recommendations

- Pursue concepts with increased range and persistence
- Increase UAV investments – 5 of top 10 concepts are UAVs
- Develop counters to directed energy – DE threatens all
- Increase emphasis on defensive capabilities because:
  - Technological proliferation is closing the capability gap between the US and its adversaries – individuals and states
  - Adversary systems threaten USAF freedom of action -- greatest impact is in cyber and space
- Improve speed and effectiveness of acquisition process
  - Old issue…but speed of technological development is accelerating
  - Near-action essential to keep pace with adversaries
- Treat cyberspace as a geographic territory in which wars will be fought
Study Recommendations

- Futures wargames need to incorporate super-empowered individuals whose access to disruptive technologies can ‘change the game’

- Invest S&T across a broad range of technologies
  - Each concept examined contains multiple technologies that span a myriad of different disciplines
  - Robust investment across all of them is the only way to hedge against an uncertain future and retain “sovereign options”
  - …But, some technologies will be developed by other governmental agencies and commercial enterprises
  - The AF needs to build networks to leverage these technological advances
Areas for Additional Research

- Nature of deterrence in a world with sub-state actors (groups and individuals)

- Building concepts to greater level of detail
  - Discerning 2nd and 3rd order effects on battlefield

- Nano and Bio-technologies
  - Sudden surge in research of these areas is not yet reflected in AFRL, A8, or AU concepts

- Alternative Energy Sources and Solutions
  - Microbial fuel cells scored 58th of 58 concepts, yet fuel costs are eating O&M budget AF-wide
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The Road Ahead

- Executive Summary published this year; alternative futures monographs will be published as editing is concluded

- Blue Horizons III Study (2009) will address and envision future concepts
  - Study will work to expand depth of understanding and address threat environment of the four alternative futures

- Technology mapping to concepts will be re-examined
  - AFRL will assist. Cross-directorate efforts to be expanded

- Blue Horizons IV (2010) will address the implications of these technologies on deterrence
Questions?
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